Translation

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

tPCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Acusti aperis es	and a file on faces						
Applicant's or agent's file reference C1-A0304P			HER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416			
International application No.		Internation 3.2	iling date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)			
PCT/JP2004/008585		585 11.06.	2004	11.06.2003			
International P	atent Classification	(IPC) or national classification	on and IPC				
Applicant CHUGAI	SEIYAKU	KABUSHIKI KA	AHZI				
		national preliminacy examina instituted to the applicant acco		International Preliminary Examining Authority			
2. This	REPORT consists	of a total of 10	sheets, includi	ng this cover sheet.			
3. This	report is also accor	npanied by ANNEXES, comp	orising:				
s. [(sent to the d	applicant and to the Internation	onal Bureau) a total of	sheets, as follows:			
	sheets	of the description, claims and containing rectifications auth	Vor drawings which have been	amended and are the basis for this report and/or rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative			
	sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. 1 and the Supplemental						
ь. Г	Box.	uernational Bureau ordy) s t	otal of (indicate type and numb	per of electronic carrier(s))			
٠. ا	(v						
	containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).						
4. This	report contains ind	ications relating to the follow	ing items:				
	Box No. 1	Basis of the report					
	Box No. II	Priority					
	Box No. 111	Non-establishment of opinio	on with regard to novelty, inves	ntive step and industrial applicability			
\boxtimes	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention					
1 67				elty. inventive step or industrial applicability:			
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited					
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the intern	ational application				
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the	international application				
Date of submis	sion of the demand		Date of completion of t	hisreport			
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/JP		Authorized officer					
Facsimile No.			Telephone No.				

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/008585

Bo	x No. 1	Basis of the report
1.		regard to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise sted under this item.
		This report is based on translations from the original language into the following which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:
	[international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))
		publication of the international application (Rule 12.4)
	[international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3)
2.	receiv this re	regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the ring Office in response to an invintion under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to eport): the international application as originally filed/furnished
		the description:
		pagesas originally filed/furnished
		pages* received by this Authority on
		pages* received by this Authority on
		the claims:
		nos." received by this Authority on
	\Box	nos.* received by this Authority on
		the drawings:
		sheets as originally filed/furnished
		sheets* received by this Authority on
		sheets* received by this Authority on
		a sequence listing and/or any related tablets) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.
3.	L.	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:
ļ	Į	the description pages
	[the claims, nos.
	[the drawings, sheets/figs
	[the sequence listing (specify):
	[any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):
4.		This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).
	[the description, pages
	[the claims, nos.
	[the drawings, sheets/figs
	[the sequence listing (specify):
	[any tablets) related to sequence listing (specify):
Ŀ	If iven	i 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."

International application No.

		PC170F20047008383				
Во	Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention					
1.	1. In response to the invitation to restrict or pay additional fees the applicant has:					
	restricted the claims.					
	paid additional fees.					
	paid additional fees under protest.					
	neither restricted the claims nor paid additional fees.	•				
2.	This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose, according to Rule 68.1, not to invite the applicant to restrict or pay additional fees.					
3.	This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rule	s 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is:				
	complied with.					
	not complied with for the following reasons:					
	The matter common to independent	claims 1, 2 and				
	11 to 13 (invention group A) relates t	o that, in				
	producing an antibody comprising a fir	st pair and a				
	second pair, the contact of the first	light chain not				
	bonded to the first heavy chain with t	he second heavy				
	chain not bonded to the second light c	hain and the				
	contact of the first heavy chain not b	onded to the				
	first light chain with the second ligh	t chain not				
	bonded to the second heavy chain are i	nhibited by, for				
	example, expressing the first pair and	the second pair				
	at different timings. The matter commo	n to independent				
	claims 3 and 4 (invention group B) rel	ates to a				
	process for producing an antibody comp	rising the step				
	of forming a first pair, the step of f	orming a second				
	pair and the step of forming the antib	ody with the use				
	of the first and second pairs. The mat	ter common to				
	independent claims 9 and 18 (invention	group C)				
	relates to a vector wherein the expres	sion of the				
	(continued in supplemental box)					
4.	Consequently, this report has been established in respect of the following parts of the intern-	ational application:-				
	all parts.					
	the parts relating to claims Nos.					
_						

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/008585

Box	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
I.	Statement					
	Novelty (N)	Claims 1, 2, 9, 11-13, 18 Claims 3-8, 10, 14-17, 19, 20				
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims				
		Claims 1-20	NO			
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-20	YES			
		Claims	NO			
2.	Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)					
	Document 1: Car	ter, P. et al., "Bispecific human IgG by				
	des	sign", J. Immunol. Methods, 2001, Vol.				
	248	3, pages 7 to 15				
	Document 2: Rid	gway J.B. et al., "'Knobs-into-holes'				
	enç	gineering of antibody CH3 domains for				
	heavy chain heterodimerization", Protein					
	Eng., 1996, Vol. 9, pages 617 to 621					
	Document 3: Pei	pp M. et al., "Bispecific antibodies				
	tar	geting cancer cells", Biochem. Soc.				
	Tra	ns., 2002, Vol. 30, pages 507 to 511				
	Document 4: Sha	laby M.R. et al., "Development of				
	hun	nanized bispecific antibodies reactive				
	wit	th cytotoxic lymphocytes and tumor cells				
	ove	erexpressing the HER2 protooncogene", J.				
	Exp	o. Med., 1992, Vol. 175, pages 217 to 225				
	Document 5: Ske	rra A. et al., "Use of the tetracycline				
	pro	moter for the tightly regulated				
	pro	oduction of a murine antibody fragment in				
	Esc	cherichia coli", Gene, 1994, Vol. 151,				
	paç	ges 131 to 135				

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/008585

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Novelty

Claims 3 to 8

The invention set forth in claims 3 to 8 lacks novelty in the light of documents 1 and 2 cited in the international search report.

Documents 1 and 2 are understood to disclose a process for producing bispecific antibody into which knobs-into-hole has been introduced, containing a step of preparing a first pair disclosed in this application, a step of preparing a second pair disclosed in this application, and a step of preparing antibodies using said first pair and second pair.

Here, the process for producing an antibody set forth in claim 3 of this application, in the light of this disclosure, is a production process containing steps (a), (b) and (c) set forth in this application regardless of order, and is understood to include a process wherein said three steps are carried out simultaneously.

Therefore the invention set forth in claim 3 cannot be distinguished from the inventions set forth in documents 1 and 2.

For the same reasons, the invention set forth in claims 4 to 8 cannot be distinguished from the invention set forth in documents 1 and 2.

Claim 10

The invention set forth in claim 10 lacks novelty in the light of the inventions set forth in documents 1 and 2 cited in the international search report. Documents 1 and 2 set forth processes for producing bispecific antibodies, wherein by introducing knobs-into-hole it is possible to increase the ratio of antibodies containing a

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/008585

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability: citations and explanations supporting such statement

first pair and a second pair, and that it is possible to increase the deactivation of the antibody composition.

Therefore the invention set forth in claim 10 cannot be distinguished from the inventions set forth in documents 1 and 2.

Claims 14 to 16

The invention set forth in claims 14 to 16 lacks novelty in the light of the inventions set forth in documents 1 to 3 cited in the international search report.

There is no discernible difference between the antibody and antibody composition set forth in claims 14 to 16 of this application and the bispecific antibody and composition containing said antibody set forth in documents 1 to 3.

Claims 17 and 19

The invention set forth in claims 17 and 19 lacks novelty in the light of the invention set forth in document 5 cited in the international search report.

Document 5 sets forth a vector wherein the expression of Fab fragments are induced by tetracycline, and *Escherichia coli* containing said vector.

It is therefore impossible to distinguish between the invention set forth in claims 17 and 19 of this application and the invention set forth in document 5.

Claim 20

The invention set forth in claim 20 lacks novelty in the light of documents 1 to 5 cited in the international search report.

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/008585

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

There is no discernible difference between the cell set forth in claim 20 of this application and the cells set forth in documents 1 to 5.

Inventive Step Claims 1 to 13

The invention set forth in claims 1 to 13 does not involve an inventive step in the light of documents 1 to 4 cited in the international search report.

Documents 1 and 2 set forth a process for producing a bispecific antibody having an Fc region, wherein "the H chain and L chain which constitute a first set disclosed in this application having a particular antigen recognition site" and "the H chain and L chain which constitute a second pair disclosed in this application having another antigen recognition site" are expressed simultaneously, and the formation of the first pair and the second pair and the bonding of said first pair and second pair via knobs-into-hole are carried out simultaneously. Documents 1 and 2 also indicate that antibodies are produced having antigen recognition sites comprising undesirable sets comprising the H chain which makes up the first pair and the L chain which makes up the second pair. In addition, documents 1, 3 and 4 indicate that "the V region of H chain and L chain which constitute a particular antigen recognition site" and "the V region of H chain and L chain which make up another antigen recognition site" are separately expressed in Escherichia coli, and that the respective H chain and L chain are bonded in advance, and their respective antigen recognition sites formed, after which the two antigen recognition sites are chemically bonded,

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/008585

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

thereby efficiently producing the target bispecific antibody.

That being the case, in the process for producing a bispecific antibody having an Fc region set forth in documents 1 and 2, it would be easy for a person skilled in the art to refer to documents 1, 3 and 4 to separately express "an H chain and L chain which constitute a first pair disclosed in this application having a particular antigen recognition site" and "a H chain and L chain which constitute a second pair disclosed in this application having another antigen recognition site", and to bond their respective H chain and L chains in advance, forming a first pair and a second pair having an antigen recognition site, and subsequently bonding the first pair and second pair via knobs-into-hole, in order to prevent the production of antibodies having antigen recognition sites comprising undesirable sets and to efficiently produce the target bispecific antibody.

When doing so, a person skilled in the art would be capable of introducing an optimum expression regulating factor and carry out the expression of the aforementioned "H chain and L chain which constitute the first pair" and "H chain and L chain which constitute the second pair" in separate cells at different timing, to constitute the production process disclosed in this application.

Moreover, employing the configuration of the invention set forth in claims 1 to 13 of this application is not acknowledged to offer a special effect.

Claims 14 to 20

In addition, a person skilled in the art would be capable of using said production process to produce a bispecific antibody and a composition containing said

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/008585

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; Box No. V citations and explanations supporting such statement antibody, to produce a cell having the aforementioned vector introduced, and to produce a kit containing said vector. Moreover, employing the configuration of the invention set forth in claims 14 to 20 of this application is not acknowledged to offer a special effect.

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/008585

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

(continued from Box IV.3)

first heavy chain and the first light chain is induced by a first expression regulatory factor and a vector wherein the expression of the second heavy chain and the second light chain is induced by a second expression regulatory factor.

The matter common to independent claims 10 and 15 (invention group D) relates to an antibody composition having an antibody containing the first pair and the second pair at a high ratio. The independent claim 17 (invention E) relates to a vector wherein the expression of a light chain or a heavy chain of an antibody is induced by an expression inducer.

Although invention groups A to E are common to each other in relating to an antibody comprising a heavy chain and a light chain, it is obvious that this matter has been publicly known. Thus this common matter cannot be considered as a special technical feature within the meaning of PCT Rule 13.2, second sentence.

Moreover, there is no common matter which appears to be a special technical feature within the meaning of PCT Rule 13.2, second sentence in arbitrary combinations of invention groups A to E.

Such being the case, invention groups A to E do not comply with the requirement of unity of invention.